

## **CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT**



### COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE:	ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	COMPLAINT/D  ARMS COMPLA	·				
AIRS ID#: 7775563 DA	TE: <u>8/16/11</u>	ARRIVE: <u>12:18</u>	]	DEPART: <u>12:35</u>			
FACILITY NAME: MELBOURNE YARD-350 BBL BELGRADE SILO							
FACILITY LOCATION: 2585 AVOCADO AVE							
	MELBOURNE 3	32935-5586					
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: MICHAEL MAHONEY Email: mmahoney@prestige-gunite.com CONTACT NAME: JONNY PRIEZ Email: PHONE: (772)216-6638 Email: (772)216-6638 ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 2/14/2009 / 2/14/2014							
	(effective date) (end da						
Facility Section  PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box)  ☑ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE							
DADEH ONGERNA							
PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING  1. Name(s) of facility representative(s): Natashia Ladlum - Asst. Plant Manager - 321-751-2566  Brief Notes:							
2. Is the Authorized Rep If no, who is?:	resentative still MICHAEL	MAHONEY?		Xes	□No		
If different, did the fac 3. Is the facility contact s If no, who is?:	cility provide an administrat still JONNY PRIEZ?	tive update within 30 days?		☐ Yes ☐ Yes	□No □No		
4. Will facility be conducted If yes, was the complication.	cting VE test(s) during toda ance authority notified at lea	ay's inspection?east 15 days in advance?		Yes Yes	⊠No □No		
<ol> <li>Name(s) of facility reparts and series.</li> <li>Is the Authorized Reparts and series.</li> <li>If different, did the factorist series.</li> <li>Is the facility contact series.</li> <li>Will facility be conducted.</li> </ol>	resentative(s): Natashia La resentative still MICHAEL cility provide an administrate still JONNY PRIEZ? cting VE test(s) during toda	adlum - Asst. Plant Manage  MAHONEY?  tive update within 30 days?  ay's inspection?		box for each			

# Emissions Unit Section 1 –CCB Plant-silo(cement)relocatable w/silotop baghouse, 350Bbl subject to Reasonable Precautions

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION				
1. Date of last inspection:  2. Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection?	s 🔲 No			
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.				
<u>Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards</u>				
Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions by:				
a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?	s No s No No No No No No No No			
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck? Ye	s 🛚 No			
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:  a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?	es No			

## **Facility Section (continued)**

<u>C(</u>	ONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY	(check 🗹	only one
		box for each	question)
1.	Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit:  a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant?  b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants?  c 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant?	☐ Yes	⊠ No ⊠ No ⊠ No
2.	Does this facility include:  a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)?		⊠ No
	b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air gene permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility?		⊠ No
3.	Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel? b. 23,000 gallons of gasoline? c. 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas? d. 1.3 million gallons of propane? e. Or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite (use equation below)? gal diesel/yr + gal gasoline/yr + MM SCF nat. gas/yr + MM gal propagation of the pro	-	☐ No
4.	275,000 gal diesel/yr 23,000 gal gasoline/yr 44 MM SCF nat. gas/yr 1.3 MM gal propar.  Has the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel consum for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years?	nption	☐ No
<u>G1</u>	ENERAL CONDITIONS	(check <b>☑</b> box for each	•
1.	Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices?		
2.	Does the owner or operator:		
	<ul><li>a. Maintain the authorized facility in good condition?</li><li>b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all</li></ul>	- ⊠ Yes	∐ No
3.	terms and conditions of the air general permit?	- X Yes	☐ No
	to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general permit and Department rules?	X Yes	☐ No

RELOCATABLE PLANT: (check ☑ only one					
Is the facility: stationary \( \subseteq \); relocatable \( \subseteq \); or consisting of both stationary and relocatable \( \subseteq \) box for each question) concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? ( <i>If only stationary, skip the following question 2.</i> )					
2. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization?(If YES, answer 2. a and 2.b; if NO, answer question 2.c below. a. Did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or	Yes No				
e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day b. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notifit to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five busin	y prior to changing location? Yes No cation Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(6)] ness days following a relocation? Yes No				
c. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notific to the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at least five	e business days prior to relocation? Yes No				
3. If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a separate and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emissions uni a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routine pu If YES, what was the purpose? b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long it	t in that separate permit: rpose (i.e, there is no repeated usage)?  Yes No				
co-located at the permitted facility?  If YES, were any periods more than 6 months in duration?	Yes No				
CHANGES	(check ☑ only one				
Administrative Changes:	box for each question)				
1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representative not associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions units or operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility? Yes No  2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change? Yes No  New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:					
<ol> <li>Since the last registration form submittal has there been         <ul> <li>Installation of any new process equipment?</li> <li>Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement</li> <li>Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is subsid. A change in ownership?</li> </ul> </li> </ol>	? Yes No No Stantially different? Yes No				
4. If the answer to any question 3a. – d. is YES, was a new registrat 30 days prior to the change?					
Wanda Parker-Garvin	8/16/11				
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection				
	Wanda Parker Lawin				
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection				

**COMMENTS:** Ms. Wanda Parker-Garvin with FDEP met with Ms. Natashia Ladlum, Assistant Plant Manager and informed that she was there to conduct a compliance inspection. A truck was loading at the time of inspection and there were no visual emsissions observed.